institutions are mismanaged, the same may be diverted to educational purposes.]

*Reply of Government.*—The matter will be looked into. It must at the same time be pointed out that all institutions which could well be entrusted to private management have already been so dealt with, and only the rest are under Government supervision.

**Subject No. 38.**
**Exemption from assessment of endowment lands.**

Messrs. Amble Anniah Pandit and D. Narsimiah of Chamrajnagar Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—Lands endowed by donors for the maintenance of temples and chattrams newly built by them may be exempted from the entire or half the assessment on such lands, provided they are placed under the management of Government. Such exemption has been made in the case of several institutions in the past. [Two instances were cited (1) of lands worth about Rs. 10,000 being assigned for an Annachattram in Yedatore by one Chowdaiya and (2) of one Chowkhalli Sidlingasetti of the same taluk having left Rs. 15,000 for charitable purposes.] The Deputy Commissioners may be authorized to entertain complaints regarding the mismanagement of existing religious and charitable institutions for which an endowment of lands has been made and to rectify all irregularities.

*Reply of Government.*—Enquiries will be made into the specific cases brought to notice, and any feasible concessions granted.

**Subject No. 39.**
**Dharmakartas for private temples.**

Mr. K. Shamanna of Kankanhalli Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—The assignment of inam lands in favor of private temples has created a tendency in the *Archaks* to misappropriate the produce thereof. The evil should be rectified by appointing two Dharmakartas to supervise the work of *Archaks*.

*Reply of Government.*—Individual instances if brought to notice will be enquired into. It is not possible to pass general orders.

**Subject No. 40.**
**Alienation of temple lands.**

Messrs. Venkataramaniah of Seringapatam Taluk and Srinivasamurthi of Gorur.

*Summary of discussion.*—Many temples have got inam and kandayam lands. The pattas of these lands being in the names of *pujaris*, they dispose of them by sale or otherwise and allow the temples to decay. An investigation may be made into such improper alienations and steps taken for the restitution of temple lands. Where the lands stand in the name of a temple, the *archaka* enjoys the same professing to be *kadim* tenant, and refuses to give them up or even to yield up any of the produce to the temple. It is prayed that this setting up of the right of *kadim* tenancy be negatived.

*Reply of Government.*—It is not possible to direct that all lands should be transferred to temples. Each case brought to notice will be enquired into and orders passed with regard to it.

**Subject No. 41.**
**Increase of Tasdik to minor temples.**

Mr. Anandalvar of Mandya Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—The ready money *tasdik* of minor temples being small, it may be supplemented from Mohatarfa or Local Funds. These funds are not available for the benefit of the smaller villages.